



COX4-1 promotes mitochondrial supercomplex assembly and limits reactive oxide species production in radioresistant GBM

Claudia R. Oliva¹, Md Yousuf Ali^{1,2}, Susanne Flor¹ and Corinne E. Griguer^{1,*}

¹ Free Radical & Radiation Biology Program, Department of Radiation Oncology, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242.

² Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Human Toxicology, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242.

* Corresponding Author:

Corinne E. Griguer, 375 Newton Rd, 4032 MERF, Iowa City, 52242, Iowa, USA; Phone 319-384-4754; FAX 319-335-8039; E-mail: corinne-griguer@uiowa.edu

ABSTRACT Glioblastoma (GBM) is a fatal disease with recurrences often associated with radioresistance. Although often effective at treating newly diagnosed GBM, increasing evidence suggests that radiotherapyinduced alterations in tumor metabolism promote GBM recurrence and aggressiveness. Using isogenic radiosensitive and radioresistant GBM cell lines and patient-derived xenolines, we found that acquired radioresistance is associated with a shift from a glycolytic metabolism to a more oxidative metabolism marked by a substantial increase in the activity of the mitochondrial respiratory chain complex cytochrome c oxidase (CcO). This elevated CcO activity was associated with a switch in the isoform expression of the CcO regulatory subunit COX4, from COX4-2 to COX4-1, assembly of CcO-containing mitochondrial supercomplexes (SCs), and reduced superoxide (O2.) production. Overexpression of COX4-1 in the radiosensitive cells was sufficient to promote the switch from glycolytic to oxidative metabolism and the incorporation of CcO into SCs, with a concomitant reduction in O2^{•-} production. Conversely, silencing of COX4-1 expression in normally radioresistant cells reduced CcO activity, promoted the disassembly of mitochondrial SCs, and increased O2^{•-} production. Additionally, gain or loss of COX4-1 expression was sufficient to induce the radioresistant or radiosensitive phenotype, respectively. Our results demonstrate that COX4-1 promotes SC assembly in GBM cells, and SC assembly may in turn regulate the production of reactive oxygen species and thus the acquisition of radioresistance in GBM.

doi: 10.15698/cst2022.04.266 Received originally: 19.01.2022; in revised form: 21.02.2022, Accepted 28.02.2022, Published 07.03.2022.

Keywords: supercomplexes, cytochrome c oxidase, radioresistance, GBM, superoxide, COX4, mitochondria.

Abbreviations:

AA – antimycin A, CcO – cytochrome c oxidase, FCCP – p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone, GBM – glioblastoma, IGA – in-gel activity, MID – mean inactivation dose, OCR – oxygen consumption rate, OS – overall survival, OXPHOS – oxidative phosphorylation, SC – supercomplex, ROS – reactive oxygen species.

INTRODUCTION

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common and aggressive primary brain tumor in adults [1]. Radiotherapy is a critical treatment modality for newly diagnosed GBM [2] but is also the primary cause of tumor recurrence and death in these patients [3]. Despite recent technological advances, the development of acquired resistance to therapeutic radiation continues to present a major clinical hurdle in the treatment of GBM. Currently it is not possible to predict in whom or when resistance to radiotherapy will develop [4, 5], and the molecular mechanisms underlying this resistance have not been well defined.

It has long been recognized that perturbed cellular metabolism is a hallmark of many cancer cells [6-11]. However, the significance of metabolic changes in resistance to therapy are only now being realized [12]. Interestingly, various therapy-resistant cancer cells show a high dependence on mitochondrial respiratory function and the consequent metabolic phenotype [10, 11, 13-15]. Researchers have long believed that cancer cells rely exclusively on glycolytic metabolism. However, accumulating evidence has begun to show that oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) is critically involved in tumor progression and resistance to therapy [16, 17]. Notably, a small number of studies have demonstrated a shift in cancer cell metabolism toward OXPHOS in chemo- and radioresistant cancer cells [10, 11, 18, 19].

Despite these recent findings, whether and how changes in mitochondrial function and metabolic phenotype affect cancer cell sensitivity to radiotherapy remain largely unclear, especially regarding GBM. Evidence accumulated in the last ten years has demonstrated that, rather than functioning solely as individual units, mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes, especially complexes I, III, and IV (cytochrome c oxidase; CcO), often interact to form supercomplexes (SCs), also referred to as respirasomes [20-25]. Although the functional significance of mitochondrial SCs is just beginning to emerge, it appears that these structures enhance electron transport (i.e., OXPHOS efficiency), which in turn may reduce the rate of reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation [26, 27]. Complexes I and III are the major redox centers in which O_2 is reduced to superoxide (O_2^{\bullet}) within the mitochondrial respiratory chain, and complex III appears to be the major site of radiation-induced ROS production [28, 29]. Therefore, it was proposed that SC assembly of these complexes minimizes ROS production [30]. Confirming this idea in an ex vivo biological system, Lopez-Fabuel et al. found that the abundance of free complex I relative to the abundance of complex I in SCs is higher in astrocytes than in neurons, and this high abundance of free complex I in astrocytes correlates with higher ROS production [31]. As tumor cell production of ROS is necessary for radiation-induced cell death, SC assembly may be a factor in determining tumor cell radiosensitivity. However, the current understanding of how SCs are assembled and regulated, and what their physiological function in health and disease is, remains limited [23, 32-34].

Recently, several reports have suggested that specific subunits of CcO (complex IV) regulate SC formation [21, 27, 35, 36]. CcO is the terminal enzyme of the mitochondrial respiratory chain and, as such, CcO activity controls ATP production and OXPHOS efficiency in mammalian cells. The three mitochondria-encoded subunits of CcO are necessary for the catalytic function, while the eleven nuclearencoded subunits regulate the enzymatic activity of CcO [37]. COX4, the largest regulatory subunit of CcO, has been shown to inhibit the enzymatic activity of CcO when ATP concentrations are high [38]. The two isoforms of COX4, namely COX4-1 and COX4-2, share high homology in the C-terminal region but differ significantly in the N-terminal region, which comprises the matrix domain. Additionally, COX4-2 lacks the regulatory S58 residue involved in the regulation of ATP binding [39] but contains three cysteine residues that have been suggested to function as redox sensors [40]. We previously demonstrated that expression of the COX4-1 isoform is associated with elevated CcO activity and chemoresistance in GBM cells [11, 15]. However, the involvement of COX4-1 expression in SC assembly,

metabolic phenotype, and the mechanism of acquired radioresistance in GBM has not been examined.

Here, we investigated the metabolic phenotype of radiosensitive parental cell lines and derived cells with acquired radioresistance. Furthermore, we examined the relationship between SC assembly and metabolic phenotype as well as the molecular mechanisms that regulate SC assembly and the acquisition of radioresistance in GBM cells.

RESULTS

Acquired radioresistance in GBM cells is associated with a switch from glycolytic to oxidative metabolism

To investigate the role of mitochondrial alterations in the radioresistance of GBM cells, we generated isogenic GBM cell models of radioresistance by exposing U251 cells and patient-derived xenograft lines (D456 and Jx39) to chronic irradiation with clinically relevant fractionated doses (5 Gy) of X-ray radiation, delivered once weekly to a cumulative dose of 25 Gy. After the radioresistant lines were established, the three pairs of radioresistant and parental cell lines were treated with radiation doses between 2 and 8 Gy. The survival rate after irradiation was significantly higher in the isogenic radioresistant sublines (U251-RR, D456-RR, and Jx39-RR) than in the corresponding radiosensitive cell lines (Fig. 1A). The mean inactivation dose (MID, dose causing 50% cell death) was calculated for each cell line by fitting the data to the linear-quadratic model [41]. The MID ratio (ratio of radioresistant to radiosensitive MID) was 1.58, 1.97, and 2.31 for U251, D456, and Jx39 cells, respectively (p < 0.001 in each case). Consistently, comet assays conducted 24 h after cells were irradiated with 6 Gy showed only a low level of DNA damage in the isogenic radioresistant lines but heavy damage in the radiosensitive cell lines (Fig. 1B). These paired cell lines of the same origin but with distinctly different radiosensitivity thus provide unique models with which to investigate metabolic changes associated with acquired radioresistance.

We next assessed the metabolic phenotype of radioresistant and radiosensitive GBM cells, determining the mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate (OCR) and ATP production rate to reflect mitochondrial OXPHOS activity and the extent of cellular glucose uptake and lactate production to reflect glycolytic activity [21, 42]. OCR was determined in isolated mitochondria after activation of complex I driven by addition of pyruvate and malate, followed by ADP (state 3 respiration). As shown in Figure 2A, state 3 respiration was significantly higher in the mitochondria from the isogenic radioresistant cell lines than in the mitochondria from the corresponding radiosensitive lines. To determine the maximal mitochondrial uncoupled respiration rate (reserve capacity), carbonyl cyanide p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP) was sequentially added at increasing concentrations, and maximal uncoupled respiration was obtained at 1.0 μ M FCCP. The reserve capacity was also significantly higher in the mitochondria from the isogenic radioresistant cell lines than in the mitochondria of the corresponding radiosensitive cell lines (Fig. 2A).



In the OXPHOS phenotype, higher OCR is linked to higher cellular energy production [43]. Therefore, to further confirm that the induction of radioresistance in GBM cells is associated with a switch to the OXPHOS phenotype, we examined the rate of ATP production in each cell line.

The rate of ATP production was measured by coupling ATP hydrolysis to the reduction of NADP⁺ to NADPH [44]. As shown in **Figure 2B**, the rate of ATP production was 3to 4-fold higher in the isogenic radioresistant lines than in the corresponding radiosensitive cell lines. In contrast, cellular glucose consumption and lactate production were lower in the radioresistant cells than in the corresponding radiosensitive cell lines (**Fig. 2C-D**). These results indicate that acquired radioresistance in GBM cells is associated with increased OXPHOS and decreased glycolysis.



promotes acquired radioresistance in GBM cell lines. (A) Clonogenic survival curves for radiosensitive (U251, D456, and Jx39) and isogenic radioresistant (U251-RR, D456-RR and Jx39-RR) cell lines. Cells were irradiated with 2, 4, 6, or 8 Gy and immediately plated. Clonogenic survival was estimated on day 14 after irradiation. (B) Quantification of post-irradiation DNA damage in the radiosensitive and radioresistant GBM cells, assessed by single-cell gel electrophoresis assay under neutral conditions (neutral comet assay). Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n=3). p < 0.01 (**), p < 0.001 (***), and p < 0.0001(****), calculated by Student t-test. ns, not significant.

FIGURE 1: Fractionated radiation

CcO is incorporated into mitochondrial SCs in radioresistant GBM cells.

Because CcO has been shown to have an important role in the regulation of electron transport and OXPHOS [11, 30, 44, 45], we compared CcO activity in mitochondria isolated from the parental radiosensitive and isogenic radioresistant GBM cell lines. CcO activity was significantly higher in the radioresistant cells (2056.0 ± 122.3, 2456.0 ± 17.2, and 1236 ± 25.1 nmol/sec/mg for U251-RR, D456-RR, and Jx39-RR cells, respectively) than in the radiosensitive cells (450.5 ± 35.5, 319.4 ± 14.8, and 452.5 ± 17.2 nmol/sec/mg for U251, D456, and Jx39 cells, respectively; p < 0.0001 for each cell line pair; Fig. 3A). We [10, 11, 15] and others [46-49] have demonstrated that the nuclear-encoded COX4 isoforms are key regulatory subunits of mammalian CcO and could have a role in GBM resistance to therapy. Western blot analysis of COX4 isoform expression in our cell lines indicated that COX4-1 is expressed almost exclusively

C.R. Oliva et al. (2022)



FIGURE 2: Acquired radioresistance in GBM cells is associated with a switch to an OXPHOS phenotype. (A) Quantification of the OCR in radiosensitive (U251, D456, and Jx39) and isogenic radioresistant (U251-RR, D456-RR, and Jx39-RR) cell lines after the addition of pyruvate/malate and ADP (state 3) and after the subsequent addition of FCCP (reserve capacity). Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n=3). (B) Quantification of ATP production rate in radiosensitive and radioresistant cell lines, assessed by the reduction of NADP⁺ to NADPH. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n=3). (C) Quantification of cellular glucose uptake in the radiosensitive and radioresistant cell lines estimated with the non-phosphorylatable fluorescent glucose analogue 2-NBDG. Indicated cells were incubated with 100 μ M 2-NBDG for 20 min, and 2-NBDG fluorescence was measured in a microplate fluorometer. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n=4). (D) Quantification of extracellular lactate concentrations in cultures of the radiosensitive and radioresistant cell lines. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n=4). OCR, oxygen consumption rate; Res capacity, reserve capacity; 2-NBDG, 2-(N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)amino)-2-deoxyglucose. p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01 (***), p < 0.001 (****), calculated by Student t-test.

in the isogenic radioresistant cells, whereas COX4-2 is expressed almost exclusively in the corresponding radiosensitive cells (**Fig. 3B**).

CcO-specific subunit isoforms have been shown to influence SC assembly as well [21, 27, 35, 36]. Therefore, we assessed whether the structural organization of the mitochondrial respiratory chain differs between the radiosensitive and isogenic radioresistant GBM cell lines. To test this possibility, digitonin-solubilized mitochondria from radiosensitive and radioresistant cell lines were subjected to blue native PAGE (BN-PAGE), which facilitates the separation of respiratory chain complex monomers and SCs. In mitochondria from the radioresistant cells, CcO (complex IV) was detected as dimers or in SCs (**Fig. 3C**). Specifically, CcO was identified at different copy numbers (n) in complex III-IV SCs (III₂IV_n) and in larger complex I-III-IV SCs (I₁III₂IV_n). In mitochondria from the isogenic radiosensitive cells, CcO was detected in monomeric form and in SCs with complex III, but respirasomes were not detected. In agreement with the *in vitro* determination of CcO activity (**Fig. 3A**), CcO activity detected via in-gel activity (IGA) assay was significantly lower in samples from the radiosensitive cells than in samples from the radioresistant cells. An association between complex I and complex III was also apparent in the radiosensitive cell lines (I₁-III₂; **Fig. 3C**).

Radiosensitive cells produce more mitochondrial ROS than radioresistant cells do

The organization of respiratory complexes into SCs has been reported to affect mitochondrial function and ROS production [26, 31, 44]. Because there is unequivocal evidence that ROS influence the genotoxic stress caused by ionizing radiation [50, 51], we next used the mitochondriatargeted probe MitoSOX to determine O2^{•-} production in intact radiosensitive and isogenic radioresistant GBM cells. O2^{•-} production was detected in all cell lines but was 3- to 5-fold higher in the radiosensitive cells than in the corresponding radioresistant cells under control conditions (Fig. 4A). Mitochondrial complex III has been identified as the main producer of O2[•] and derived ROS within the respiratory chain [52, 53] and is considered the major site of ROS production after irradiation [28, 29]. Inhibition of complex III with antimycin A (AA) enhances $O_2^{\bullet-}$ production [54]. Notably, mitochondrial $O_2^{\bullet-}$ production stimulated by the C-III inhibitor AA was significantly attenuated in radioresistant cells compared with radiosensitive cells (Fig. 4B). In the radiosensitive U251 cells overexpressing the antioxidant superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD₂), only a very low Mito-



FIGURE 3: CcO is incorporated into mitochondrial SCs in GBM cells with acquired radioresistance. (A) CcO activity in radiosensitive (U251, D456, and Jx39) and isogenic radioresistant (U251-RR, D456-RR, and Jx39-RR) cell lines. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n=4). (B) Representative Western blot showing the expression of the COX4 isoforms COX4-1 and COX4-2 in the radiosensitive and radioresistant cells. COX-I was probed as a loading control. (C) Digitonin-solubilized mitochondria from radiosensitive and radioresistant cell lines were subjected to BN-PAGE followed by complex I and IV IGA assays and Western blot for complex III. Representative image from 3 separate experiments. p < 0.0001 (****), calculated by Student t-test.

SOX signal was detected before or after treatment with AA, confirming the specificity of the MitoSOX probe for O_2^{\bullet} (Fig. 4C). The activity of complexes II-III was similar in the radiosensitive and radioresistant lines under basal conditions, indicating that the differences in O_2^{\bullet} production were not due to a depletion of complex III in the radioresistant cells (Fig. 4D). No significant differences in MitoSOX fluorescence were observed after mitochondrial membrane potential disruption with FCCP (Fig. 4E and F), suggesting that the differences in MitoSOX fluorescence were not due to

differences in mitochondrial membrane potential affecting probe uptake. We also determined cellular levels of H_2O_2 production by monitoring the oxidation of Amplex Red. H_2O_2 production was about four orders of magnitude faster in the radiosensitive cells than in the corresponding radioresistant cells, and no significant differences in H_2O_2 production were detected between the cell lines after mitochondrial membrane potential disruption with FCCP (**Fig. 4G**).



FIGURE 4: ROS production is lower in GBM cells with acquired radioresistance. (A) Quantification of mitochondrial O_2^{\bullet} production in radiosensitive (U251, D456, and Jx39) and isogenic radioresistant (U251-RR, D456-RR, and Jx39-RR) cell lines, determined by MitoSOX assays. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n=4). (B) Quantification of mitochondrial O_2^{\bullet} production in the radiosensitive and radioresistant cells treated without or with AA (10 µM, 15 min) to inhibit complex III. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n=3). (C) Quantification of mitochondrial O_2^{\bullet} production in vector control- and SOD₂-transfected U251 cells treated without or with AA (10 µM, 15 min). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n=2). (D) Quantification of complex II/III activity in radiosensitive and radioresistant cells. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n=3). (E) Quantification of mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\psi$ m) and O_2^{\bullet} production (F) in radiosensitive and radioresistant cells treated without or with the uncoupler FCCP (10 µM, 15 min). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n=3). (G) Quantification of mitochondrial H₂O₂ production, determined by Amplex Red oxidation assays, in radiosensitive and radioresistant cells treated without or with the uncoupler FCCP (10 µM, 15 min). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n=3). (G) Quantification of mitochondrial H₂O₂ production, determined by Amplex Red oxidation assays, in radiosensitive and radioresistant cells treated without or with the uncoupler FCCP (10 µM, 15 min). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n=2). p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01 (**), p < 0.001 (***) and p < 0.0001 (****), respectively, calculated by Student t-test. a.u., arbitrary units; ns, not significant; CS, citrate synthase; $\Delta\psi_m$, mitochondrial membrane potential; and FCCP, carbonyl cyanide p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone.

COX4-1 promotes SC assembly and modulates ROS production

Considering that COX4-1 expression was associated with the presence of SCs, we further tested the effects of COX4-1 overexpression on CcO activity and SC assembly. For this purpose, we used stable U251 and D456 cell lines ectopically expressing FLAG-tagged COX4-1 (COX4-1-U251 and COX4-1-D456, respectively) or the FLAG expression vector (vector-U251 and vector-D456, respectively; Fig. 5A). CcO activity was significantly higher in COX4-1overexpressing glioma cells (1728.0 ± 131.7 nmol/sec/mg and 1460.0 ± 51.3 nmol/sec/mg in COX4-1-U251 clones versus 316.5 ± 11.0 nmol/sec/mg and 236.9 ± 28.8 nmol/sec/mg in vector-U251 clones; 3087.0 ± 290.7 nmol/sec/mg and 2220.0 ± 204.5 nmol/sec/mg in COX4-1-D456 clones versus 663.6 \pm 68.2 nmol/sec/mg and 581.0 \pm 42.78 nmol/sec/mg in vector-D456 clones; p <0.0001; Fig. 5B).

To investigate the effect of COX4-1 overexpression on SC assembly, mitochondria prepared from COX4-1overexpressing and vector-overexpressing U251 and D456 cells were analyzed by BN-PAGE with subsequent IGA assays or immunoblotting. The formation of CcO-containing SCs was higher in COX4-1-overexpressing U251 and D456 clones than in the respective vector-transfected clones. The results showed that overexpression of COX4-1 decreased the signal of monomeric complex I and complex III but increased the signal at the position of SCs (III_n-IV_n and I-III_n-IV_n; **Fig. 5C** and **D**). Because SCs are hypothesized to enhance electron transport activity without a compensatory increase in ROS, we analyzed the mitochondrial ROS levels in COX4-1-overexpressing cells. Indeed, $O_2^{\bullet-}$ levels were lower in COX4-1-U251 (**Fig. 6A**) and COX4-1-D456 (**Fig. 6B**) clones than in vector-control clones and, as in radioresistant cells, $O_2^{\bullet-}$ levels did not increase after AA treatment in COX4-1-overexpressing clones (**Fig.6A** and **B**).

We next investigated whether the overexpression of COX4-1 is sufficient to enhance radioresistance in GBM cells. After irradiation with up to 8 Gy, clonogenic survival was significantly higher in U251-COX4-1 (**Fig. 6C**) and D456-COX4-1(**Fig. 6D**) clones than in the respective vector-transfected U251 and D456 clones.

Depletion of COX4-1 disrupts SC assembly and promotes ROS production

We next examined the consequences of COX4-1 depletion on CcO activity, SC assembly, ROS production, and resistance to radiation. Western blot analysis showed that COX4-1 protein expression was significantly attenuated in U251-RR and D456-RR cells transfected with shRNA target-



FIGURE 5: COX4-1 overexpression promotes SC assembly in radiosensitive GBM cells. (A) Representative Western blot showing COX4-1 expression in U251 and D456 cells stably transfected with vector only or vector expressing COX4-1. Citrate synthase (CS) served as the loading control. **(B)** Quantification of CCO activity in vector control- and COX4-1-transfected U251 and D456 cells. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM (n=4). **(C)** Digitonin-solubilized mitochondria from the indicated cell lines were subjected to BN-PAGE followed by in-gel complex I and IV activity assays and complex III Western blot analysis. Representative images from 3 separate experiments. p < 0.0001 (****), calculated by Student t-test.



FIGURE 6: $O_2^{\bullet-}$ production is reduced in COX4-1 overexpressing GBM cells. Quantification of mitochondrial $O_2^{\bullet-}$ production in vectortransfected controls and COX4-1-overexpressing U251 cells (A) and D456 (B) cells treated with or without AA (10 µM for 15 min), assessed by MitoSOX assay. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n= 3). Clonogenic survival curves for vector-transfected controls and COX4-1overexpressing U251 (C) and D456 (D) cells. Cells were irradiated with 2, 4, 6, or 8 Gy and immediately plated. Clonogenic survival was estimated on day 14 after irradiation. p < 0.01 (**) and p < 0.001 (***), calculated using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. p < 0.0001 (****), calculated by Student t-test. a.u., arbitrary units; AA, antimycin A; ns, not significant.

ing *COX4i1* (COX4-1 sgRNA; **Fig. 7A**). Furthermore, CcO activity was significantly reduced in these COX4-1-depleted U251-RR and D456-RR cells (404.0 \pm 51.7 nmol /sec/mg and 527.8 \pm 107.7 nmol/sec/mg for COX4-1-shRNA-U251-RR clones versus 3118.0 \pm 41.9 nmol/sec/mg and 2742.0 \pm 206.5 nmol/sec/mg for scramble shRNA-U251-RR clones; 3087.0 \pm 290.7 nmol/sec/mg and 2220.0 \pm 204.5 nmol/sec/mg for scramble-shRNA-D456-RR clones versus 663.6 \pm 68.2 nmol/sec/mg and 581.0 \pm 42.8 nmol/sec/mg for COX4-1 shRNA-D456-RR clones; p < 0.0001; **Fig. 7B**).

To analyze mitochondrial SC assembly in COX4-1depleted cells, we performed BN-PAGE followed by IGA assays or immunoblotting in digitonin-solubilized mitochondria from COX4-1-shRNA-U251-RR cells and scramble shRNA-U251-RR cells. Depletion of COX4-1 appeared to shift the distribution of complexes I, III, and IV from SCs to monomers (**Fig. 7C**), and this trend was maintained when COX4-1 protein expression was silenced in D456-RR cells (**Fig. 7D**). In addition, O₂• levels were higher in the COX4-1shRNA-U251-RR cells (3- to 5-fold) and COX4-1-shRNA- D456-RR cells (3- to 4-fold) than in scramble shRNAtransfected counterparts. Notably, treatment with AA further increased $O_2^{\bullet-}$ levels in COX4-1-shRNA-U251-RR cells (5- to 7-fold) and COX4-1-shRNA-D456-RR cells (6- to 10fold) but not in scramble shRNA-transfected counterparts (**Fig. 8A** and **B**). Finally, clonogenic survival was significantly lower in COX4-1-depleted U251-RR and D456-RR clones than in scramble shRNA-transfected clones after irradiation with up to 8 Gy (**Fig. 8C** and **D**).

CcO is incorporated into mitochondrial SCs in human GBM tumors

Results from our recent prospective biomarker trial in GBM demonstrated that the combination of *MGMT* promoter methylation and low CcO activity within GBM tumors may be predictive of long-term survival in patients [55]. To address the clinical relevance of our above findings, we examined the expression of COX4-1 and SC assembly in a panel of six of the GBM specimens with *MGMT* promoter methylation: three specimens from patients with high CcO activ-



FIGURE 7: Silencing COX4-1 reduces SC assembly in in GBM cells with acquired radioresistance. (A) Representative Western blot showing COX4-1 expression in U251-RR and D456-RR cells transfected with shRNA targeting COX4-1 or scramble shRNA. Citrate synthase (CS) served as the loading control. **(B)** Quantification of CcO activity in in U251-RR and D456-RR cells transfected with shRNA targeting COX4-1 or scramble shRNA. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n=3) **(C)** Digitonin-solubilized mitochondria from the indicated cell lines were subjected to BN-PAGE followed by complex I and IV IGA assays and complex III Western blot analysis. Representative images from 4 independent preparations. p < 0.0001 (****), calculated by Student t-test.

ity and short overall survival (OS; mean CcO activity, 10.88 \pm 1.00; mean OS, 134 days) and three specimens from patients with low CcO activity and long OS (mean CcO activity, 1.66 \pm 0.22; mean OS, 691 days; **Fig. 9A** and **B**). Interestingly, tumor expression of the COX4-1 isoform was strongly associated with the high CcO/short OS group. Although some expression of COX4-1 was detected in GBM specimens from the low CcO/long survival group, the mean expression level was significantly lower, with the expression barely detectable in two of the specimens (**Fig. 9C**).

To analyze whether the structural organization of the mitochondrial respiratory chain differs between the two GBM specimen groups, digitonin-solubilized mitochondria were subjected to BN-PAGE. In mitochondria from the tumors with high CcO activity, CcO was identified at varying copy numbers (n) in complex III-IV SCs (III₂IV_n) and in larger complex I-III-IV SCs (I₁III₂IV_n). On the other hand, in mitochondria from the tumors with low CcO activity, the activity of complex I and CcO was below the limit of detection by IGA. Moreover, western blot analysis of complex III clearly showed the absence of SCs (**Fig. 9E**).

DISCUSSION

Renewed interest in understanding the metabolic changes that occur during cancer progression and the development of therapeutic resistance has led to research findings that suggest metabolic pathways as druggable targets. However, information regarding the metabolic profile of GBM cells that become resistant to radiotherapy has remained sparse. Here, we report that the development of GBM radioresistance triggered by fractionated radiation associates with a switch from a predominately glycolytic phenotype to a predominately OXPHOS phenotype. Furthermore, this phenotype switch, which appears to be mediated by a change in the COX4 isoform expressed within CcO, affects mitochondrial respiratory chain function, and thereby reduces ROS production.

Since the description of the Warburg effect almost 100 years ago [56], the idea that cancer cells rely heavily on aerobic glycolysis because of decreased or damaged OXPHOS has predominated. However, studies during the last few years have demonstrated that OXPHOS has an essential role in tumor progression and resistance to therapy [16, 17]. Specifically, Zu and Guppy demonstrated that



FIGURE 8: Silencing COX4-1 increases $O_2^{\bullet-}$ production in GBM cells with acquired radioresistance. (A) Quantification of mitochondrial $O_2^{\bullet-}$ production in U251-RR (top) and D456-RR (bottom) cells transfected with shRNA targeting COX4-1 or scramble shRNA and treated with or without AA (10 µM for 15 min), assessed by MitoSOX assay. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n=3). (B) Clonogenic survival curves for U251-RR (top) and D456-RR (bottom) cells transfected with shRNA targeting COX4-1 or scramble shRNA. Cells were irradiated (0–8 Gy) and immediately plated. Clonogenic survival was estimated on day 14 after irradiation. ***p<0.001, calculated using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. p < 0.0001 (****), calculated by Student t-test. a.u., arbitrary units; AA, antimycin A; ns, not significant.

even in the presence of high glycolytic rates, OXPHOS remains the major source of ATP in cancer cells [57], and several reports have demonstrated that cancer stem cells rely mainly on OXPHOS to fulfill energy demands in different cancer types, including leukemia [58], pancreatic cancer [59], breast cancer [60], and GBM [6]. Similarly, it was recently reported that OXPHOS is crucial for preserving glioma stem cells [17, 61]. Moreover, a shift in cancer cell metabolism toward OXPHOS has been reported in chemoand radioresistant cancer cells [10, 11, 18, 19].

Here, we used radiosensitive U251, D456, and Jx39 GBM cells and radioresistant U251, D456, and Jx39 cells derived by exposure to fractionated radiation to determine the changes in metabolic phenotype that accompany the development of radioresistance in GBM. Our assessment of the mitochondrial OCR and the cellular glucose consumption and lactate production rates in these GBM-pair lines revealed that the isogenic radioresistant cells were, in general, less glycolytic than were the parental radiosensitive cells and had a higher rate of mitochondrial ATP produc-

tion. Furthermore, the mitochondrial reserve capacity, a measure of the ability of cells to resist oxidative stress [62], was higher in the radioresistant cells and correlated with lower O_2^{\bullet} production levels.

Perhaps underlying these crucial differences in metabolic phenotype, we found that the mitochondrial respiratory chains are differentially organized in radiosensitive and radioresistant GBM cells. In particular, the proportion of CcO found as monomers was lower and the proportion associated with complex III in SCs (III₂-IV_n) and larger structures containing I₁III₂IV_n was higher in radioresistant cells. These differences correlate with changes in O₂^{•-} production and respiration, with the more monomeric CcO associated with lower OCR and elevated O2^{•-} production in radiosensitive cells consistent with their more glycolytic metabolism. A further intriguing finding is that the large differences in mitochondrial O2^{•-} production and SC assembly between radiosensitive and radioresistant cells correlated with the up- and down-regulation of CcO regulatory subunit COX4-1. In fact, the COX4-2 isoform was almost exclusively ex-



FIGURE 9: CcO is incorporated into mitochondrial SCs in patient biopsy samples. (A) CcO activity in human GBM tumors. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n=3). (B) Survival time of patients. (C) Representative Western blot showing the expression of COX4-1 in GBM tumors. Citrate synthase (CS) was probed as a loading control. (D) Densitometric analysis of Western blot in C. (E) Digitonin-solubilized mitochondria from GBM tumors were subjected to BN-PAGE followed by complex I and IV IGA assays and complex III Western blot analysis.

pressed in the parental radiosensitive GBM cells, whereas the COX4-1 isoform was almost exclusively expressed in the radioresistant cells. Interestingly, COX4-1 knockdown in radioresistant cells impaired CcO activity and decreased the OCR, but increased O₂^{•-} production. In contrast, COX4-1 overexpression in radiosensitive cells increased CcO activity and the OCR but decreased O₂^{•-} formation. Since activation of mitochondrial respiration usually increases ROS production [62, 63], we posit that enhanced SC assembly promoted by COX4-1 overexpression represses ROS production by increasing the efficiency of electron transfer among complexes I, III, and IV (CcO).

Our results may help explain the different redox and bioenergetic features of radiosensitive and radioresistant cells: the expression of COX4-1 and the greater proportion of CcO assembled into SC may contribute to the higher respiration rate, OXPHOS phenotype and reduced O_2^{\bullet} production of radioresistant cells. Our results agree with a previous report that demonstrated in murine brain cells that assembly of mitochondrial complexes into SCs can regulate mitochondrial ROS production that occurs mainly during the process of OXPHOS [31].

We previously demonstrated that high tumor expression of COX4-1 correlates in a significant manner with worse patient prognosis, whereas high COX4-2 expression does not, suggesting that COX4-1 may have a novel function that differs from that of COX4-2 [15]. Additionally, an exploratory analysis from our recent prospective biomarker trial in GBM showed that the combination of MGMT promoter methylation and low CcO activity within tumors may be a predictive marker for longer patient survival [55]. Here, we found that mitochondrial complexes were largely incorporated into SCs in GBM specimens with high CcO activity (high COX4-1 expression) obtained from patients with short OS. In contrast, complex incorporation into SCs was significantly reduced in GBM samples with low CcO activity (low COX4-1 expression) obtained from patients with long OS. This trend suggests that SC assembly in GBM cells is mediated by COX4-1 expression and contributes to reduced OS in patients, possibly by minimizing ROS production; however, these observations must be validated in a much larger number of samples.

Many CcO subunits have been implicated in the assembly of mitochondrial SCs. The role of COX7A2L (SCAF1) in promoting SC assembly has been demonstrated in breast cancer [21], pancreatic cancer [64], normal mouse tissue [36, 65-67] and bovine heart mitochondria [27]. Similarly, knockdown of human COX17, a copper chaperone involved in the formation of the binuclear copper center of CcO, affects assembly and SC organization of CcO in HeLa cells [68]. More recently, it was demonstrated that human lung adenocarcinoma cells co-opt the expression of the normally sperm-specific CcO subunit COX6B2 to promote CcO incorporation into SCs and increase OXPHOS efficiency [35]. Thus, our data indicating that COX4-1 expression enhances CcO activity and promotes SC assembly in radioresistant GBMs is not without precedent. A switch in CcO isoforms have been previously associated with resistance to oxidative stress and aggressive phenotype of cancers cells [11, 15, 35, 69-71].

Overall, our results support the view that the exposure of GBM cells to fractionated radiation promotes the expression of the CcO subunit isoform COX4-1, which in turn promotes mitochondrial OXPHOS and SC assembly while minimizing ROS production. As the use of inhibitors that specifically target OXPHOS has raised concerns regarding toxicity to non-cancer cells, our results point to new avenues for potential therapeutic interventions converging on the mitochondria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines

Glioma cells were cultured as we previously described [10, 15, 72]. Radioresistant cell lines (U251-RR, D456-RR, and Jx39-RR) were developed from their respective parental cell lines (U251, D456, and Jx39) by weekly exposure to a single radiation dose of 5 Gy for five weeks. During this period cells received an accumulated dose of 25 Gy, which is equivalent to a biologically equivalent total dose in 2-Gy fractions (EQD2) of 34.6 Gy. Stable U251 and D456 cell lines ectopically expressing FLAGtagged COX4-1 (COX4-1-U251 and COX4-1-D456, respectively) or the FLAG expression vector (vector-U251 and vector-D456, respectively) were generated as we previously described [15]. All electroporations were performed using a Gene Pulser Xcell Electroporation System (Bio Rad, Hercules, CA) as we previously described [15, 73]. Glioma cells were electroporated with a lentiviral vector carrying one of four unique 29mer shRNA constructs specific for human COX411 or scramble control shRNA (OriGene Technologies, Rockville, MD; catalog # TL313764). To generate stably transfected cell lines, cells were selected with 5 μ g/ml puromycin for at least three weeks. The generation of GBM cells overexpressing COX4I1 was previously described [15]. Cells were authenticated by STR Profile and Inter-species Contamination testing (IDEXX BioAnalytics, Columbia, MO) and evaluated for mycoplasma contamination (The Iowa Institute of Human Genetics Genomics Division).

Clonogenic survival assay

A total of 1×10^5 cells were plated in 60 mm dishes and allowed to grow in culture media for 48 h. Cells were then irradiated with 2, 4, 6, or 8 Gy at room temperature. Ionizing radiation was delivered with a dose rate of 0.805 Gy/min using a 6000 Ci¹³⁷Cs cesium irradiator (J.L. Shepherd, San Fernando, CA). Immediately after irradiation, cells were plated at low density (250-20,000 cells per dish), and clones were allowed to grow for 14 days. Clones were then fixed with 70% ethanol and stained with Coomassie blue for analysis of clonogenic survival. Individual assay colony counts were normalized to that of control, with at least three cloning dishes per condition, repeated in at least two separate experiments.

Comet assay

Single-cell gel electrophoresis (comet assay) was performed using a comet assay kit (Trevigen, Gaithersburg, MD; catalog # 4250-050-K) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Slides were imaged using a BX-61 light microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA) and comet images were analyzed using CometScore software (TriTek Corp., Sumerduck, VA). The percentage of DNA in the comet tail was calculated as a measure of DNA damage.

Mitochondrial preparation and functional studies

Mitochondrial fractions were prepared from cultured cells as we previously described [72]. Mitochondrial respiration assays using freshly isolated mitochondria were performed by measuring O₂ consumption in a 2-channel respirometer (Oxygraph-2k with DatLab software; Oroboros Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria) as we previously described [10, 11, 15, 72]. Glucose uptake experiments were carried out as previously described [10, 11] using 2-(N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)amino)-2-deoxyglucose (2-NBDG; Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY; catalog # N13195). Lactate accumulation in the culture medium was determined using a fluorescence-based L-lactate assay kit from Cayman (Ann Arbor, MI) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Mitochondrial complex activities were determined, with results normalized to citrate synthase activity, as previously described [10, 55, 73].

Mitochondrial membrane potential

Mitochondrial membrane potential was assessed using a JC-10 Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Assay kit (Sigma; catalog # MAK159) according to the manufacturer's instructions. $\Delta \psi_m$ values were expressed in arbitrary units. FCCP (10 μ M, 15 min), was added to cells to define the $\Delta \psi_m$ depolarized values.

Rate of ATP production

The rate of ATP production was measured as previously described [74]. Briefly, glucose-dependent, hexokinase-catalyzed ATP hydrolysis was coupled to glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase-catalyzed reduction of NADP⁺ to NADPH in a 1:1 stoichiometry. To measure ATP production, autofluorescence of NADPH (340/460 excitation/emission) was measured continuously at 30°C using monochromatic fluorescence (FluoroMax-3; Horiba Jobin Yvon, Edison, NJ). Rates of ATP synthesis were quantified by applying a standard curve generated from ATP titrations.

Measurement of ROS

The intracellular level of O_2^{\bullet} was determined using MitoSOX Red (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA) as we previously described [10, 11, 15]. Briefly, 2×10^5 cells were incubated with 2 μ M Mito-SOX Red for 25 min at 37°C. Some cells were preincubated with FCCP (10 μ M) or AA (10 μ M) for 15 min as indicated. Fluorescence was analyzed by flow cytometry (510 nm excitation and 580 nm emission) and 10,000 events were obtained per sample. The data were then analyzed using FlowJov10 software. The generation of H₂O₂ was measured using 10 μ M AmplexTM Red (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, Cat. # A12222) as we previously described [73].

Western blot analysis

SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting were performed as we previously described [10, 11, 15]. Antibodies used for immunoblotting were as follows: anti-COX4-1, anti-COXI, anti-UQCRC2 (1:1000, ab14744, ab14705and ab14745, Abcam), anti-COX4-2 and anti-citrate synthase (1:1000, 11463-AP and 16131-AP, Proteintech Group).

BN-PAGE

BN-PAGE was performed as previously described [75, 76]. Mitochondria (150 µg) were solubilized in 50 µl of NativePAGE Sample Buffer (4X; Invitrogen, catalog # BN20032) containing digitonin (Invitrogen, catalog # BN2006) at a digitonin/protein ratio of 4 g/g, on ice for 20 min. Solubilized proteins were supplemented with Coomassie brilliant blue G-250 (Invitrogen, catalog # BN2004) at a ratio of digitonin/Coomassie dye of 4:1. Samples were separated on 3-12% NativePAGE Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen, catalog # BN2012BX10) and run with dark blue cathode buffer at 30 V for 1 h. The cathode buffer was then replaced with light blue buffer and the gels were run for 20 h at 30 V at 4°C. Dark blue cathode buffer was prepared by adding 50 mL of 20X NativePAGE Running Buffer (Invitrogen, catalog # BN2001) and 50 mL of 20X NativePAGE Cathode Buffer Additive (Invitrogen, catalog # BN2002) in 900 mL of deionized H₂O. Light blue cathode buffer was prepared by adding 50 mL of 20X NativePAGE Running Buffer and 5 mL of 20X NativePAGE Cathode Buffer Additive in 945 mL of deionized H₂O.

Acquisition of tissue specimens

Brain tumor tissue was collected as we previously described [55]. All patients provided written informed consent to the surgical procedures and gave permission for the use of resected tissue specimens. The protocol for this study was approved

REFERENCES

1. Poon MTC, Sudlow CLM, Figueroa JD, Brennan PM (**2020**). Longerterm (>/= 2 years) survival in patients with glioblastoma in populationbased studies pre- and post-2005: a systematic review and metaanalysis. **Sci Rep** 10(1): 11622. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-68011-4

2. Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, Weller M, Fisher B, Taphoorn MJ, Belanger K, Brandes AA, Marosi C, Bogdahn U, Curschmann J, Janzer RC, Ludwin SK, Gorlia T, Allgeier A, Lacombe D, Cairncross JG, Eisenhauer E, Mirimanoff RO, European Organisation for R, Treatment of Cancer Brain T, Radiotherapy G, National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials G (2005). Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 352(10): 987-996. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa043330

3. Cantrell JN, Waddle MR, Rotman M, Peterson JL, Ruiz-Garcia H, Heckman MG, Quinones-Hinojosa A, Rosenfeld SS, Brown PD, Trifiletti DM (2019). Progress Toward Long-Term Survivors of Glioblastoma. Mayo Clin Proc 94(7): 1278-1286. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.11.031

4. Brandes AA, Tosoni A, Franceschi E, Sotti G, Frezza G, Amista P, Morandi L, Spagnolli F, Ermani M (2009). Recurrence pattern after temozolomide concomitant with and adjuvant to radiotherapy in newly diagnosed patients with glioblastoma: correlation With MGMT promoter methylation status. J Clin Oncol 27(8): 1275-1279. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2008.19.4969

5. Gebhardt BJ, Dobelbower MC, Ennis WH, Bag AK, Markert JM, Fiveash JB (**2014**). Patterns of failure for glioblastoma multiforme following limited-margin radiation and concurrent temozolomide. **Radiat Oncol** 9: 130. doi: 10.1186/1748-717X-9-130

by the Institutional Review Board for Human Use at the University of Iowa (IRB # 202202231).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants R01CA160821 and R21NS100054.

We wish to thank Dr. Erin Thacker for manuscript editing. The data presented herein were obtained at the Flow Cytometry Facility, which is a Carver College of Medicine / Holden Comprehensive Cancer Center core research facility at the University of Iowa. The Radiation and Free Radical Research Core provided invaluable support in the completion of this work.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Oliva *et al.* This is an open-access article released under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license, which allows the unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are acknowledged.

Please cite this article as: Claudia R. Oliva, Md Yousuf Ali, Susanne Flor and Corinne E. Griguer (**2022**). COX4-1 promotes mitochondrial supercomplex assembly and limits reactive oxide species production in radioresistant GBM. **Cell Stress** 6(4): 45-60. doi: 10.15698/cst2022.04.266

6. Griguer CE, Oliva CR, Gillespie GY (**2005**). Glucose metabolism heterogeneity in human and mouse malignant glioma cell lines. J **Neurooncol** 74(2): 123-133. doi: 10.1007/s11060-004-6404-6

7. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (**2011**). Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. **Cell** 144(5): 646-674. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

8. Jia D, Lu M, Jung KH, Park JH, Yu L, Onuchic JN, Kaipparettu BA, Levine H (**2019**). Elucidating cancer metabolic plasticity by coupling gene regulation with metabolic pathways. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A** 116(9): 3909-3918. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1816391116

9. LeBleu VS, O'Connell JT, Gonzalez Herrera KN, Wikman H, Pantel K, Haigis MC, de Carvalho FM, Damascena A, Domingos Chinen LT, Rocha RM, Asara JM, Kalluri R (**2014**). PGC-1alpha mediates mitochondrial biogenesis and oxidative phosphorylation in cancer cells to promote metastasis. **Nat Cell Biol** 16(10): 992-1003, 1001-1015. doi: 10.1038/ncb3039

10. Oliva CR, Moellering DR, Gillespie GY, Griguer CE (**2011**). Acquisition of chemoresistance in gliomas is associated with increased mitochondrial coupling and decreased ROS production. **PLoS One** 6(9): e24665. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0024665

11. Oliva CR, Nozell SE, Diers A, McClugage SG, 3rd, Sarkaria JN, Markert JM, Darley-Usmar VM, Bailey SM, Gillespie GY, Landar A, Griguer CE (**2010**). Acquisition of temozolomide chemoresistance in gliomas leads to remodeling of mitochondrial electron transport chain. J Biol Chem 285(51): 39759-39767. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.147504

12. Morandi A, Indraccolo S (**2017**). Linking metabolic reprogramming to therapy resistance in cancer. **Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer** 1868(1): 1-6. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2016.12.004

13. Ashton TM, McKenna WG, Kunz-Schughart LA, Higgins GS (**2018**). Oxidative Phosphorylation as an Emerging Target in Cancer Therapy. **Clin Cancer Res** 24(11): 2482-2490. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-3070

14. Lee JS, Lee H, Jang H, Woo SM, Park JB, Lee SH, Kang JH, Kim HY, Song J, Kim SY (**2020**). Targeting Oxidative Phosphorylation Reverses Drug Resistance in Cancer Cells by Blocking Autophagy Recycling. **Cells** 9(9): 2013. doi: 10.3390/cells9092013

15. Oliva CR, Markert T, Gillespie GY, Griguer CE (**2015**). Nuclearencoded cytochrome c oxidase subunit 4 regulates BMI1 expression and determines proliferative capacity of high-grade gliomas. **Oncotarget** 6(6): 4330-4344. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.3015

16. Sighel D, Notarangelo M, Aibara S, Re A, Ricci G, Guida M, Soldano A, Adami V, Ambrosini C, Broso F, Rosatti EF, Longhi S, Buccarelli M, D'Alessandris QG, Giannetti S, Pacioni S, Ricci-Vitiani L, Rorbach J, Pallini R, Roulland S, Amunts A, Mancini I, Modelska A, Quattrone A (**2021**). Inhibition of mitochondrial translation suppresses glioblastoma stem cell growth. **Cell Rep** 35(4): 109024. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109024

17. Vlashi E, Lagadec C, Vergnes L, Matsutani T, Masui K, Poulou M, Popescu R, Della Donna L, Evers P, Dekmezian C, Reue K, Christofk H, Mischel PS, Pajonk F (**2011**). Metabolic state of glioma stem cells and nontumorigenic cells. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A** 108(38): 16062-16067. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1106704108

18. Haq R, Shoag J, Andreu-Perez P, Yokoyama S, Edelman H, Rowe GC, Frederick DT, Hurley AD, Nellore A, Kung AL, Wargo JA, Song JS, Fisher DE, Arany Z, Widlund HR (**2013**). Oncogenic BRAF regulates oxidative metabolism via PGC1alpha and MITF. **Cancer Cell** 23(3): 302-315. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2013.02.003

19. Roesch A, Vultur A, Bogeski I, Wang H, Zimmermann KM, Speicher D, Korbel C, Laschke MW, Gimotty PA, Philipp SE, Krause E, Patzold S, Villanueva J, Krepler C, Fukunaga-Kalabis M, Hoth M, Bastian BC, Vogt T, Herlyn M (2013). Overcoming intrinsic multidrug resistance in melanoma by blocking the mitochondrial respiratory chain of slow-cycling JARID1B(high) cells. Cancer Cell 23(6): 811-825. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2013.05.003

20. Hollinshead KER, Parker SJ, Eapen VV, Encarnacion-Rosado J, Sohn A, Oncu T, Cammer M, Mancias JD, Kimmelman AC (**2020**). Respiratory Supercomplexes Promote Mitochondrial Efficiency and Growth in Severely Hypoxic Pancreatic Cancer. **Cell Rep** 33(1): 108231. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108231

21. Ikeda K, Horie-Inoue K, Suzuki T, Hobo R, Nakasato N, Takeda S, Inoue S (**2019**). Mitochondrial supercomplex assembly promotes breast and endometrial tumorigenesis by metabolic alterations and enhanced hypoxia tolerance. **Nat Commun** 10(1): 4108. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12124-6

22. Lenaz G, Genova ML (2010). Structure and organization of mitochondrial respiratory complexes: a new understanding of an old subject. Antioxid Redox Signal 12(8): 961-1008. doi: 10.1089/ars.2009.2704

23. Letts JA, Sazanov LA (**2017**). Clarifying the supercomplex: the higher-order organization of the mitochondrial electron transport chain. **Nat Struct Mol Biol** 24(10): 800-808. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.3460

24. Schagger H (**2001**). Respiratory chain supercomplexes. **IUBMB Life** 52(3-5): 119-128. doi: 10.1080/15216540152845911

25. Schagger H, Pfeiffer K (2000). Supercomplexes in the respiratory chains of yeast and mammalian mitochondria. EMBO J 19(8): 1777-1783. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.8.1777

26. Lapuente-Brun E, Moreno-Loshuertos R, Acin-Perez R, Latorre-Pellicer A, Colas C, Balsa E, Perales-Clemente E, Quiros PM, Calvo E, Rodriguez-Hernandez MA, Navas P, Cruz R, Carracedo A, Lopez-Otin C, Perez-Martos A, Fernandez-Silva P, Fernandez-Vizarra E, Enriquez JA (**2013**). Supercomplex assembly determines electron flux in the mitochondrial electron transport chain. **Science** 340(6140): 1567-1570. doi: 10.1126/science.1230381

27. Maranzana E, Barbero G, Falasca AI, Lenaz G, Genova ML (**2013**). Mitochondrial respiratory supercomplex association limits production of reactive oxygen species from complex I. Antioxid Redox Signal 19(13): 1469-1480. doi: 10.1089/ars.2012.4845

28. Chen Q, Moghaddas S, Hoppel CL, Lesnefsky EJ (2008). Ischemic defects in the electron transport chain increase the production of reactive oxygen species from isolated rat heart mitochondria. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 294(2): C460-466. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00211.2007

29. Chen YR, Zweier JL (**2014**). Cardiac mitochondria and reactive oxygen species generation. **Circ Res** 114(3): 524-537. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.300559

30. Vonck J, Schafer E (2009). Supramolecular organization of protein complexes in the mitochondrial inner membrane. **Biochim Biophys** Acta 1793(1): 117-124. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2008.05.019

31. Lopez-Fabuel I, Le Douce J, Logan A, James AM, Bonvento G, Murphy MP, Almeida A, Bolanos JP (**2016**). Complex I assembly into supercomplexes determines differential mitochondrial ROS production in neurons and astrocytes. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A** 113(46): 13063-13068. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1613701113

32. Enriquez JA (2016). Supramolecular Organization of Respiratory Complexes. Annu Rev Physiol 78: 533-561. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-021115-105031

33. Lobo-Jarne T, Nyvltova E, Perez-Perez R, Timon-Gomez A, Molinie T, Choi A, Mourier A, Fontanesi F, Ugalde C, Barrientos A (**2018**). Human COX7A2L Regulates Complex III Biogenesis and Promotes Supercomplex Organization Remodeling without Affecting Mitochondrial Bioenergetics. **Cell Rep** 25(7): 1786-1799 e1784. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.10.058

34. Milenkovic D, Blaza JN, Larsson NG, Hirst J (**2017**). The Enigma of the Respiratory Chain Supercomplex. **Cell Metab** 25(4): 765-776. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2017.03.009

35. Cheng CC, Wooten J, Gibbs ZA, McGlynn K, Mishra P, Whitehurst AW (2020). Sperm-specific COX6B2 enhances oxidative phosphorylation, proliferation, and survival in human lung adenocarcinoma. Elife 9: e58108. doi: 10.7554/eLife.58108

36. Ikeda K, Shiba S, Horie-Inoue K, Shimokata K, Inoue S (2013). A stabilizing factor for mitochondrial respiratory supercomplex assembly regulates energy metabolism in muscle. Nat Commun 4: 2147. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3147

37. Timon-Gomez A, Nyvltova E, Abriata LA, Vila AJ, Hosler J, Barrientos A (**2018**). Mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase biogenesis: Recent developments. **Semin Cell Dev Biol** 76: 163-178. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.08.055

38. Napiwotzki J, Kadenbach B (**1998**). Extramitochondrial ATP/ADPratios regulate cytochrome c oxidase activity via binding to the cytosolic domain of subunit IV. **Biol Chem** 379(3): 335-339. doi: 10.1515/bchm.1998.379.3.335

39. Acin-Perez R, Gatti DL, Bai Y, Manfredi G (**2011**). Protein phosphorylation and prevention of cytochrome oxidase inhibition by ATP: coupled mechanisms of energy metabolism regulation. **Cell Metab** 13(6): 712-719. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2011.03.024

Mitochondrial Supercomplexes in Radioresistant GBM

40. Huttemann M, Kadenbach B, Grossman LI (**2001**). Mammalian subunit IV isoforms of cytochrome c oxidase. **Gene** 267(1): 111-123. doi: 10.1016/s0378-1119(01)00385-7

41. Miyakawa A, Shibamoto Y, Otsuka S, Iwata H (**2014**). Applicability of the linear-quadratic model to single and fractionated radiotherapy schedules: an experimental study. J Radiat Res 55(3): 451-454. doi: 10.1093/jrr/rrt138

42. Qian W, Van Houten B (**2010**). Alterations in bioenergetics due to changes in mitochondrial DNA copy number. **Methods** 51(4): 452-457. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2010.03.006

43. Fiorillo M, Ozsvari B, Sotgia F, Lisanti MP (**2021**). High ATP Production Fuels Cancer Drug Resistance and Metastasis: Implications for Mitochondrial ATP Depletion Therapy. **Front Oncol** 11: 740720. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.740720

44. Acin-Perez R, Fernandez-Silva P, Peleato ML, Perez-Martos A, Enriquez JA (**2008**). Respiratory active mitochondrial supercomplexes. **Mol Cell** 32(4): 529-539. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2008.10.021

45. Schafer E, Seelert H, Reifschneider NH, Krause F, Dencher NA, Vonck J (**2006**). Architecture of active mammalian respiratory chain supercomplexes. J Biol Chem 281(22): 15370-15375. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M513525200

46. Cunatova K, Reguera DP, Vrbacky M, Fernandez-Vizarra E, Ding S, Fearnley IM, Zeviani M, Houstek J, Mracek T, Pecina P (**2021**). Loss of COX411 Leads to Combined Respiratory Chain Deficiency and Impaired Mitochondrial Protein Synthesis. **Cells** 10(2): 369. doi: 10.3390/cells10020369

47. Goto M, Miwa H, Suganuma K, Tsunekawa-Imai N, Shikami M, Mizutani M, Mizuno S, Hanamura I, Nitta M (2014). Adaptation of leukemia cells to hypoxic condition through switching the energy metabolism or avoiding the oxidative stress. BMC Cancer 14: 76. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-14-76

48. Sinkler CA, Kalpage H, Shay J, Lee I, Malek MH, Grossman LI, Huttemann M (2017). Tissue- and Condition-Specific Isoforms of Mammalian Cytochrome c Oxidase Subunits: From Function to Human Disease. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2017: 1534056. doi: 10.1155/2017/1534056

49. Srinivasan S, Guha M, Dong DW, Whelan KA, Ruthel G, Uchikado Y, Natsugoe S, Nakagawa H, Avadhani NG (**2016**). Disruption of cytochrome c oxidase function induces the Warburg effect and metabolic reprogramming. **Oncogene** 35(12): 1585-1595. doi: 10.1038/onc.2015.227

50. Ali MY, Oliva CR, Noman ASM, Allen BG, Goswami PC, Zakharia Y, Monga V, Spitz DR, Buatti JM, Griguer CE (**2020**). Radioresistance in Glioblastoma and the Development of Radiosensitizers. **Cancers 12**(9): 2511. doi: 10.3390/cancers12092511

51. Arnold CR, Mangesius J, Skvortsova, II, Ganswindt U (**2020**). The Role of Cancer Stem Cells in Radiation Resistance. **Front Oncol** 10: 164. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.00164

52. Bleier L, Drose S (**2013**). Superoxide generation by complex III: from mechanistic rationales to functional consequences. **Biochim Biophys** Acta 1827(11-12): 1320-1331. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.12.002

53. Tormos KV, Anso E, Hamanaka RB, Eisenbart J, Joseph J, Kalyanaraman B, Chandel NS (**2011**). Mitochondrial complex III ROS regulate adipocyte differentiation. **Cell Metab** 14(4): 537-544. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2011.08.007

54. Quinlan CL, Gerencser AA, Treberg JR, Brand MD (**2011**). The mechanism of superoxide production by the antimycin-inhibited mitochondrial Q-cycle. **J Biol Chem** 286(36): 31361-31372. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.267898

55. Griguer CE, Oliva CR, Coffey CS, Cudkowicz ME, Conwit RA, Gudjonsdottir AL, Ecklund DJ, Fedler JK, Neill-Hudson TM, Nabors LB, Benge M, Hackney JR, Chase M, Leonard TP, Patel T, Colman H, de la Fuente M, Chaudhary R, Marder K, Kreisl T, Mohile N, Chheda MG, McNeill K, Kumthekar P, Dogan A, Drappatz J, Puduvalli V, Kowalska A, Graber J, Gerstner E, et al. (2022). Prospective biomarker study in newly diagnosed glioblastoma: Cyto-C clinical trial. Neurooncol Adv 4(1): vdab186. doi: 10.1093/noajnl/vdab186

56. Warburg O, Wind F, Negelein E (**1927**). The Metabolism of Tumors in the Body. J Gen Physiol 8(6): 519-530. doi: 10.1085/jgp.8.6.519

57. Zu XL, Guppy M (2004). Cancer metabolism: facts, fantasy, and fiction. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 313(3): 459-465. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2003.11.136

58. Skrtic M, Sriskanthadevan S, Jhas B, Gebbia M, Wang X, Wang Z, Hurren R, Jitkova Y, Gronda M, Maclean N, Lai CK, Eberhard Y, Bartoszko J, Spagnuolo P, Rutledge AC, Datti A, Ketela T, Moffat J, Robinson BH, Cameron JH, Wrana J, Eaves CJ, Minden MD, Wang JC, Dick JE, Humphries K, Nislow C, Giaever G, Schimmer AD (**2011**). Inhibition of mitochondrial translation as a therapeutic strategy for human acute myeloid leukemia. **Cancer Cell** 20(5): 674-688. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2011.10.015

59. Viale A, Pettazzoni P, Lyssiotis CA, Ying H, Sanchez N, Marchesini M, Carugo A, Green T, Seth S, Giuliani V, Kost-Alimova M, Muller F, Colla S, Nezi L, Genovese G, Deem AK, Kapoor A, Yao W, Brunetto E, Kang Y, Yuan M, Asara JM, Wang YA, Heffernan TP, Kimmelman AC, Wang H, Fleming JB, Cantley LC, DePinho RA, Draetta GF (**2014**). Oncogene ablation-resistant pancreatic cancer cells depend on mitochondrial function. **Nature** 514(7524): 628-632. doi: 10.1038/nature13611

60. Vlashi E, Lagadec C, Vergnes L, Reue K, Frohnen P, Chan M, Alhiyari Y, Dratver MB, Pajonk F (**2014**). Metabolic differences in breast cancer stem cells and differentiated progeny. **Breast Cancer Res Treat** 146(3): 525-534. doi: 10.1007/s10549-014-3051-2

61. Janiszewska M, Suva ML, Riggi N, Houtkooper RH, Auwerx J, Clement-Schatlo V, Radovanovic I, Rheinbay E, Provero P, Stamenkovic I (**2012**). Imp2 controls oxidative phosphorylation and is crucial for preserving glioblastoma cancer stem cells. **Genes Dev** 26(17): 1926-1944. doi: 10.1101/gad.188292.112

62. Chen Q, Vazquez EJ, Moghaddas S, Hoppel CL, Lesnefsky EJ (2003). Production of reactive oxygen species by mitochondria: central role of complex III. J Biol Chem 278(38): 36027-36031. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M304854200

63. Zhao RZ, Jiang S, Zhang L, Yu ZB (**2019**). Mitochondrial electron transport chain, ROS generation and uncoupling (Review). **Int J Mol Med** 44(1): 3-15. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2019.4188

64. Higgins GS, O'Cathail SM, Muschel RJ, McKenna WG (**2015**). Drug radiotherapy combinations: review of previous failures and reasons for future optimism. **Cancer Treat Rev** 41(2): 105-113. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2014.12.012

65. Cogliati S, Calvo E, Loureiro M, Guaras AM, Nieto-Arellano R, Garcia-Poyatos C, Ezkurdia I, Mercader N, Vazquez J, Enriquez JA (**2016**). Mechanism of super-assembly of respiratory complexes III and IV. **Nature** 539(7630): 579-582. doi: 10.1038/nature20157

66. Mourier A, Matic S, Ruzzenente B, Larsson NG, Milenkovic D (**2014**). The respiratory chain supercomplex organization is independent of COX7a2l isoforms. **Cell Metab** 20(6): 1069-1075. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2014.11.005

67. Perez-Perez R, Lobo-Jarne T, Milenkovic D, Mourier A, Bratic A, Garcia-Bartolome A, Fernandez-Vizarra E, Cadenas S, Delmiro A, Garcia-Consuegra I, Arenas J, Martin MA, Larsson NG, Ugalde C (**2016**). COX7A2L Is a Mitochondrial Complex III Binding Protein that Stabilizes

the III2+IV Supercomplex without Affecting Respirasome Formation. **Cell Rep** 16(9): 2387-2398. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.07.081

68. Oswald C, Krause-Buchholz U, Rodel G (**2009**). Knockdown of human COX17 affects assembly and supramolecular organization of cytochrome c oxidase. **J Mol Biol** 389(3): 470-479. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2009.04.034

69. Campian JL, Gao X, Qian M, Eaton JW (**2007**). Cytochrome C oxidase activity and oxygen tolerance. **J Biol Chem** 282(17): 12430-12438. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M604547200

70. Minton DR, Fu L, Mongan NP, Shevchuk MM, Nanus DM, Gudas LJ (**2016**). Role of NADH Dehydrogenase (Ubiquinone) 1 Alpha Subcomplex 4-Like 2 in Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. **Clin Cancer Res** 22(11): 2791-2801. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-1511

71. Zhang K, Wang G, Zhang X, Huttemann PP, Qiu Y, Liu J, Mitchell A, Lee I, Zhang C, Lee JS, Pecina P, Wu G, Yang ZQ, Huttemann M, Grossman LI (**2016**). COX7AR is a Stress-inducible Mitochondrial COX Subunit that Promotes Breast Cancer Malignancy. **Sci Rep** 6: 31742. doi: 10.1038/srep31742

72. Oliva CR, Markert T, Ross LJ, White EL, Rasmussen L, Zhang W, Everts M, Moellering DR, Bailey SM, Suto MJ, Griguer CE (2016). Identification of Small Molecule Inhibitors of Human Cytochrome c

Oxidase That Target Chemoresistant Glioma Cells. **J Biol Chem** 291(46): 24188-24199. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.749978

73. Flor S, Oliva CR, Ali MY, Coleman KL, Greenlee JD, Jones KA, Monga V, Griguer CE (**2021**). Catalase Overexpression Drives an Aggressive Phenotype in Glioblastoma. **Antioxidants** 10(12): 1988. doi: 10.3390/antiox10121988

74. Lark DS, Torres MJ, Lin CT, Ryan TE, Anderson EJ, Neufer PD (**2016**). Direct real-time quantification of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation efficiency in permeabilized skeletal muscle myofibers. **Am J Physiol Cell Physiol** 311(2): C239-245. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00124.2016

75. Jha P, Wang X, Auwerx J (**2016**). Analysis of Mitochondrial Respiratory Chain Supercomplexes Using Blue Native Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (BN-PAGE). **Curr Protoc Mouse Biol** 6(1): 1-14. doi: 10.1002/9780470942390.mo150182

76. Timon-Gomez A, Perez-Perez R, Nyvltova E, Ugalde C, Fontanesi F, Barrientos A (**2020**). Protocol for the Analysis of Yeast and Human Mitochondrial Respiratory Chain Complexes and Supercomplexes by Blue Native Electrophoresis. **STAR Protoc** 1(2): 100089. doi: 10.1016/j.xpro.2020.100089